

1 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)
MJacobs@mofo.com
2 ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ (CA SBN 121490)
AGonzalez@mofo.com
3 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
4 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
Tel: 415.268.7000 / Fax: 415.268.7522

5 KAREN L. DUNN (*Pro Hac Vice*)
kdunn@bsfllp.com
6 HAMISH P. M. HUME (*Pro Hac Vice*)
hhume@bsfllp.com
7 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
1401 New York Avenue, N.W.
8 Washington, D.C. 20005
Tel: 202.237.2727 / Fax: 202.237.6131

10 WILLIAM C. CARMODY (*Pro Hac Vice*)
bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
11 SHAWN J. RABIN (*Pro Hac Vice*)
srabin@susmangodfrey.com
12 SUSMAN GODFREY LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
13 New York, New York 10019
Tel: 212.336.8330 / Fax: 212.336.8340

14 *Attorneys for Defendants*
15 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
16 and OTTOMOTTO LLC

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
19 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

20 WAYMO LLC,
21 Plaintiff,
22 v.
23 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,
24 Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**DEFENDANTS UBER
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S AND
OTTOMOTTO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
REMOVE INCORRECTLY FILED
DOCUMENT: DKT. NO. 2091-2**

Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup
Trial Date: December 4, 2017

1 Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC (together, “Uber”) file this Administrative
 2 Motion to Remove the following document from ECF, submitted electronically on October 25
 3 2017, by Uber:

- 4 1. Dkt. No. 2091-2: Exhibit 1 to Declaration of Maxwell V. Pritt in Support of
 5 Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc.’s and Ottomotto LLC’s Opposition to
 6 Waymo’s Request to File a Motion *in Limine* Regarding the Stroz Friedberg Due
 7 Diligence.

8 This document contains contain information that was designated by Uber as highly
 9 confidential and was sealed by Judge Corley at Docket No. 653 (filed June 19, 2017). Uber has
 10 contacted the ECF Help Desk regarding this issue. Uber also filed correct a version of Dkt. No.
 11 2091-2 with the confidential information at issue redacted at Docket No. 2139-1 (filed October
 12 27, 2017), in support of Waymo LLC’s Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Its Second
 13 Supplemental Brief in Support of Its Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Defendants Should
 14 Not Be Held in Contempt of the Preliminary Injunction Order and Expedited Discovery Order
 15 (Dkt. 2053).

16 For the foregoing reasons, Uber respectfully requests that the Court grant this Motion to
 17 Remove.

18 Dated: October 31, 2017

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

20 By: /s/ Karen L. Dunn

Karen L. Dunn

21 *Attorneys for Defendants*
 22 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND
 23 OTTOMOTTO LLC